

Dairy Beef Short Course

March 28, 2017

I-29 Moo University is a collaboration of Dairy Extension faculty and industry partners listed below.



Thank you to our sponsors





I-29 Moo-University – Dairy Beef Short Course

March 28th, 2017, Registration 10 am, Program 10:30 am - 3:00 pm **Denny Sanford Premier Center, Sioux Falls, SD; Meeting Rooms 6-7**

Agenda

10 am – Registration / coffee & cookies, visit sponsors

10:30 am - Opening remarks - What is the Dairy Beef Hoof Print - Kim Clark, UNL Dairy Extension Educator

10:45 am - Vaccination and Implant Protocols

"Selecting the right vaccines and implants for your operation to improve herd health, optimize performance, and exceed customer expectations." - Russ Daly, SDSU Extension Veterinarian

11:45 am - Managing Liver Abscesses in the VFD Age "Learn about management strategies to minimize acidosis and liver abscess issues and comply with the VFD regulations. - Warren Rusche, SDSU Extension Beef Feedlot Specialist

12:15 pm – Sponsors 12:30 pm – Lunch 1:00 pm – Sponsors

1:30 pm – Marketing Dairy Beef in 2017 "Issues surrounding marketing dairy beef, including market access, price discovery, increased red meat supply, and end product specifications." Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities. Inc.

2:15 pm – Financial Management and Working with Lenders "Gain insight into what bankers are looking for as they work with cattle feeders." Dave Karnopp, Retail Commercial Lender /Beef Specialist - Farm Credit Services,

3:00 pm – Wrap up closing remarks – Heidi Carroll, SDSU Extension Livestock Stewardship Associate

I-29 Moo University Collaboration Members

Kim Clark (program chair) Nebraska Extension

Heidi Carroll (program vice-chair) SDSU Extension

Tracey Erickson SDSU Extension

Maristela Rovai SDSU Extension

Jim Salfer UMN Extension

Betty Berning **UMN Extension**

Keela Fick SW MN Dairy Profit Group

JW Schroeder (professor emeritus) 701-231-7663 iw.schroeder@ndsu.edu NDSU Extension

Fred Hall ISU Extension

Leo Timms **ISU Extension**

Robert Tigner **UNL Extension**

Amber Boeshans NDDA

Roger Scheibe SDDP

Lucas Sjostrom MN Milk

Sue Ann Claudon ISDA

Rod Johnson NSDA



402-472-6065 kimclark@unl.edu 605-688-6623 heidi.carroll@sdstate.edu 605-882-5140 tracey.erickson@sdstate.edu 605-688-5488

maristela.rovai@sdstate.edu 320-203-6093

salfe001@umn.edu 320-203-6104

bberning@umn.edu

507-825-6784 keela.fick@co.pipestone.mn.us

712-737-4230

FredHall@iastate.edu 515-294-4522 ltimms@iastate.edu

308-345-3390

robert.tigner@unl.edu

701-328-1495 aboeshans@nd.gov

605-692-1775 rscheibe@midwestdairy.com

902-691-2154 lucas@MNMilk.org

515-965-4626 sueannc@iowadairv.org

402-261-5482 riohnson@nebraskamilk.org



For More Information

Please contact an I-29 Moo University member or visit the conference website at:

iGrow http://igrow.org/events/i-29-moouniversity-dairy-beef-short-course/



https://www.facebook.com/I29MooUniversity/

Dairy Beef Short Course Sponsors

zoetis



Adjourn

Vaccination and Implant Protocols for Dairy Beef

Dairy Beef Shortcourse – I-19 Moo University Collaboration Russ Daly, Extension Veterinarian Robbi Pritchard, Professor South Dakota State University

Vaccination Considerations for Dairy Beef

Dairy breed steers are subject to a number of health issues post-weaning, including:

- Pneumonia (Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex)
- Digestive issues (e.g., bloat, acidosis, enterotoxemia, salmonellosis)
- Lameness (infectious as well as injury-based)
- Pinkeye

Of these conditions, the most prevalent and profound is that of Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex (BRDC). The germs that cause respiratory disease in dairy beef calves are no different than those causing problems in beef breeds in the feedlot. The most important of these are:

- Bacteria
 - Mannheimia hemolytica
 - Histophilus somni
 - o Pasteurella multocida
 - Mycoplasma bovis
- Viruses
 - Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV)
 - Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBRV)

While the germs are similar between dairy and beef breeds regarding pneumonia causes, the risk factors that contribute to clinical illness can be different. In dairy breeds, many of these risk factors are present early in life - in the pre-weaning phase for example. Insufficient ventilation, insufficient bedding, poor colostrum consumption, and group housing are just some of the factors contributing to early respiratory disease in dairy calves. Disease processes, even when sub-clinical (not outwardly noticeable), can set a calf up for problems later on.

Following weaning, common risk factors that contribute to BRDC include transportation, co-mingling, weather events, dust, and ration changes, just to name several. Longer-term stresses associated with these events impair several different aspects of the immune system. This diminished immunity helps bacteria from the upper respiratory tract gain access to the lower lung to cause inflammation and pneumonia. In addition to these environmental events, viral infections with BRSV, IBRV, Bovine Viral Diarrhea virus, and others can also predispose the respiratory tract to pneumonia.

Vaccination against BRDC pathogens is one tool producers can use to help minimize the clinical effects of these germs. Such vaccines in general include:

 Combination viral vaccines against BRSV, IBR, BVDV, and PI-3 (parainfluenza) – available as modified-live or killed versions

- Bacterial vaccines against *Mannheimia hemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida* either singly or in combination with each other and/or the viruses
- Bacterial vaccines against Histophilus somni and Mycoplasma bovis

In addition to BRDC, other health issues for which vaccines are available include Clostridial diseases such as enterotoxemia, pinkeye, and Salmonellosis.

Vaccination Choice Considerations

- Viral combination vaccines are the mainstay of bovine respiratory vaccine programs. In most cases, modified-live versions are preferable. Intranasal vaccines show effectiveness in stimulating immunity against these viruses as well.
- Vaccines against *Mannheimia hemolytica* are designed to work against the leukotoxin produced by the bacteria and are generally worth including in a respiratory disease prevention program. Combinations with *Pasteurella multocida* may be beneficial in some cases.
- Vaccines against *Histophilus somni* are generally ineffective against BRDC, but can be useful in preventing other syndromes caused by *H. somni*.
- Vaccines against *Mycoplasma bovis* have not shown consistent usefulness.
- Clostridial vaccines (e.g., 7-way vaccines) are considered effective and are recommended for use. Producers should repeat vaccinations as outlined on the label of the particular product used.
- Vaccines against pinkeye are available, however vaccination "failures" are not uncommon, due to less than adequate cross-protection between strains of pinkeye-causing bacteria.

Vaccination Timing Considerations

- Because of the role stress plays in the development of BRDC, producers should anticipate future stressful events on animals and work to ensure that optimal immunity is "on board" prior to those events.
- Movements of animals to different pens/facilities and co-mingling events are of particular importance. Respiratory disease vaccines (MLV viral combinations +/- Mannheimia or Pasteurella) should be given to animals at least 2 weeks prior to those events.
- Clostridial vaccines should normally be given in conjunction with the respiratory vaccines noted above, although there usually is no need to booster these vaccines more frequently than noted on the label.
- For steers transported long distances, recent research reveals that vaccination upon arrival is not a good idea. Animals that are undergoing stress will not respond well to any vaccine. In these cases, pre-transport vaccination (as noted above) is preferable. If this is not possible, cattle should not be vaccinated any earlier than 10-14 days post-arrival. This improves the odds that cattle have sufficiently recovered from the stress of transportation and acclimation, and will sufficiently respond to the vaccine.

Growth Implant Considerations for Dairy Beef

The use of growth implants in growing steers and heifers is one of the most economically advantageous interventions a producer can make with his or her animals. Estrogenic implants increase dry matter intake and improve average daily gain while reducing feed conversion (pounds of feed per pound of

gain). They exhibit these effects by stimulating growth hormone release from the pituitary gland as well as having a direct muscle cell-building effect, among other effects. The androgenic hormone Trenbolone Acetate (TBA) is the other implant ingredient. This hormone stimulates muscle cells to produce protein, while slowing the body's "burning up" of protein. Researchers noted a synergistic effect when estrogenic compounds were combined with TBA; therefore, many implants currently on the market are combination products.

In addition to the active ingredient, implant products vary according to the carrier substance in which the hormonal product is contained. These carriers influence the duration of effect of the implant. Therefore, implants not only vary according to dosages of the hormone(s) contained, they vary according to how long they release the hormone into the calf's system.

			ТВА		Estimated
	Estrogen	Progesterone	(androgen)	Re-implant	Duration/
	content	content	content	window	payout
Brand Name	(mg/implant)	(mg/implant)	(mg/implant	(days)	(days)
Lower Potency Implants					
Ralgro [®]	36 (zeralanol)			45-60	70-100
	10 (estradiol				
Synovex C [®]	benzoate [EB])	100		45-90	100-140
Component E-C®	10 (EB)	100		45-90	100-140
Moderate Potency Impla	ints				
	8 (estradiol-				
Revalor G [®]	17β)		40	70-110	100-140
Synovex S [®]	20 (EB)	200		70-100	100-140
Component E-S®	20 (EB)	200		70-100	100-140
Compudose [®]	25.7			140-170	170-200
Encore®	43.9			140-170	300-400
Synovex ONE Grass	21		150	170	200
Component TE-G®	8		40	100	120
Intermediate Potency Im	plants				
Synovex Choice®	14		100	70-100	80-110
Component TE-IS®	16		80	70-100	80-120
Higher Potency Implants					
Revalor S [®]	24		120	90-100	100-140
Component TE-S®	24		120	90-100	100-140
Synovex ONE [®] Feedlot	28		200	80-100	200
Intermediate Potency – I	Delayed Release H	ligh Potency			
Revalor XS [®]	40		200	200-240	230-270
¹ Adapted from Holland (2)	011)				

Implants Available for Use in Dairy Steers (Examples)¹

Adapted from Holland (2011)

Principles of Growth Implant Use in Growing Cattle

- Implant potency needs to be matched to animal nutrition.
- Too much estrogen causes bullers, dark cutters.
- Start with lower potency implants and build up over time.
- Count back from expected marketing date to determine best timing of implants.
- Don't reimplant calves that are under significant influence from the previous implant.
- Don't let implants run out; don't come up short at the end.

Implanting Mechanics: Reminders

- Keep implant guns in good working order.
- Use sharp, clean needles to implant calves use sponge soaked with disinfectant in between animals.
- Clean the ear first if visibly soiled with manure or mud.
- Use technique that does not crush implants.
- Place implants in the middle 1/3 of the ear (top-bottom + side to side); implant short ears as if they were still intact.

Caveats and Differences in Using Growth Implants in Dairy Breeds vs. Beef Breeds

- Holsteins are more sensitive to the effects of estrogen compared to beef breeds.
- Early growth of Holsteins is very efficient implants may not be needed early on. Holsteins not implanted until 450-475 # can do as well or better than those implanted at 350 #.
- First implants should be low-potency (e.g. Ralgro, Synovex C).
- Revalor XS has some unintended consequences in Holsteins, mostly in the form of increased buller problems.

I-29 Moo University Dairy Beef Short Course Notes





What Are Liver Abscesses?

- Primary liver abnormality in feedlot cattle
- No clinical signs, only found post-mortem
- Related to acidosis and rumen damage

 "Acidosis-rumenitis-liver abscess complex"
- Damage to rumen walls allows pathogens to attack liver

www.iGrow.org®

© 2015 South Dakota Board of Reger

SDSU









Possible Reasons for Increased Abscesses in Holsteins

- Differences in starch load
 - Fed high-concentrate diets for a longer period of time
 - Greater feed intakes
- Result:
 - Increased amount of starch "load" placed in rumen

www.iGrow.org®

- Increased opportunity for acidosis
- su viension

© 2015 South Dakota Board of Regents

Holsteins are Just Different

- Increased grooming
 - Possibly increased ingestion of hair
- Increased sorting of their ration
 - Changes in amount and/or characteristics of the roughage they consume
- Wetter pens due to greater water intake and urination



sdsu Extension

www.iGrow.org® © 2015 South Dakota Board of Regents

Effect of Forage Level and Length on Percent Liver Abscesses





Effect of Different Diet and Drug Combinations

	DRC/HMC Monensin + tylosin	25% WDGS Drug Free	25% WDGS Monensin	WDGS Monensin + tylosin
ADG	3.72ª	3.87 ^b	3.92 ^b	3.96 ^b
F:G	6.29ª	6.17ª	5.98 ^b	5.88 ^b
Percent Liver Abscesses	17.0ª	42.4 ^b	40.8 ^b	8.3ª
Percent A+ Liver Scores	4.4ª	16.5 ^b	19.1 ^b	3.8ª
		Meyer et al.	, 2013	
	www.	iGrow.org®	© 2015 South	n Dakota Board of Regents



<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>





I-29 Moo University Dairy Beef Short Course Notes

Marketing Dairy Beef in 2017

Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities, Inc.

Topic Areas:

1) History of turbulent fed Holstein Market

2) Review of latest industry happenings

3) Market Outlook

Contact Information: Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities, Inc. 580 3rd Street, NW Sioux Center, IA 51250 (712)-722-0023 Email: bkkooima@mtcnet.net *I-29 Moo University Dairy Beef Short Course Notes*













Production Agriculture – A Competitive Environment

"The function of a competitive market is to drive the economic return to the average producer to breakeven through supply and demand in both input and output markets. In equilibrium, the top end are profitable and growing, the average are hanging in there, and the bottom end are losing money and exiting the industry. Business success and survival depend on continuous improvement at a pace necessary to stay in the front half of the pack." --Professor Danny Klinefelter, Texas A & M University

Farm Credit Services

of America

Business Success Factors:





Farm Credit Services of America



Capacity

Farm Credit Services of America

- Does the operation show profitability?
- Are the earnings sustainable?
- Do the actual and projected break evens correlate?
- · Does the projected cash flow correlate with balance sheet net worth gains?





Collateral

- Farm Credit Services
- The adequacy of collateral offered as security.
- What is the asset value?
- What is the relationship between the loan and collateral value? Is the asset marketable?
- What is the quality of the asset?
 - Real Estate 65% Ln/CV
 - Chattels 70% Ln/NRV



















Risk Management



Vehicles for risk management

- Options
 - Puts (A good strategy similar to crop insurance. Keeps floor on losses and leaves the upside open
 Fence (Buy puts and sell calls. Creates a window of limited losses and a cap on upside potential in profits.)
- Hedge positions on the board
 - · Flexibility with forward pricing
 - Positive is able to liquidate when you want. Negative is margin calls.
- Basis Contracts
 - Contract with Packer. This results in no margin calls. Some packers are allowing more flexibility into their contracts. Positive no margin calls. Negative packer control/captive supply.

Risk Management Farm Credit Services of America Marketing plan · We are not going to tell you when and how to market your livestock. This is a management decision. If it is a true hedged position we will stand behind the decision and whether the margin storm. Marketing is not our business. Collateral Margin Individual pens Equity/Head Range Price Protected 25-30% of initial cost (1) No Yes 15-20% of initial cost · Borrowing base advance rate Market Cattle - not protected 70 to 75% Market Cattle - Price Protected 75 to 80% <(1)











	Long	Term Rates	Farm Credit Services of America
Free	e market controlled	d – trade daily just like the corn	market
Glob	oal flight to quality s	remains the primary driver of I	ong term interest
10-Y	ear US Treasuries	:	
	7-25-12:	1.43% (The bottom)	
	1-2-13:	1.86%	
	1-2-14:	3.00%	
	1-2-15:	2.12%	
	1-4-16:	2.27%	





Interest Rates



Current environment provides excellent opportunities for loan restructure/reamortization to improve cash flow

If your cash flow is not working – it is the <u>amount</u> of your debt or the <u>amortization</u> of your debt (or both), not the cost









Benchmark Farms



- Most comprehensive agricultural real estate data base in the state of Iowa
- Nearly 70 full-time appraisal specialists
- Typically analyze 3,000 + transactions per year
- 64 Benchmark Farms
- Values Updated Semi-Annually (January 1st and July 1st)

lonth	1 Year	5 Year	10 Year
.0%	-5.6%	19.6%	139.4%
.5%	-4.4%	68.5%	212.3%
.6%	-3.5%	79.1%	208.3%
.8%	10.6%	35.8%	67.7%
	0% 5% 6% 8%	0% -5.6% 1.5% -4.4% 1.6% -3.5% 10.6% -8%	.0% -5.6% 19.6% .5% -4.4% 68.5% .6% -3.5% 79.1% .8% 10.6% 35.8%







compared to the	'80'S Farm Credit Service of America
1980s	TODAY
In 1979, 70% of the farm debt was held by approximately 30% of the farming operations. This 30% of the farming operations produced approximately 42% of the net farm income.*	In 2012, 68% of the farm debt was held by approximately 4% of the farming operations. This 4% of the farming operations produced approximately 48% of net farm income.*
In 1979, the percentage of farming operations with no debt was approximately 32%*	In 2012, the percentage of farming operations with no debt was approximately 75%*
Historically high interest rates. Prime rate in 1981 was 21%, and Fed Funds rate in 1981 was as high as 19%.	Historically low interest rates. Prime rate is 3.25%, and Fed Funds rate is 0.25%.
Most loans had variable interest rates	More use of fixed interest rates, with many borrowers having already locked in record- low rates
In 1983, total farm interest expense was approximately 150% of net farm income*	In 2012, total farm interest expense was approximately 14% of net farm income*











I-29 Moo University Dairy Beef Short Course Notes