Dairy Beef Short Course

March 28, 2017

I-29 Moo University is a collaboration of Dairy Extension faculty and industry partners listed below.
Thank you to our sponsors
Agenda

10 am – Registration / coffee & cookies, visit sponsors

10:30 am – Opening remarks – What is the Dairy Beef hoof Print – Kim Clark, UNL Dairy Extension Educator

10:45 am - Vaccination and Implant Protocols
“Selecting the right vaccines and implants for your operation to improve herd health, optimize performance, and exceed customer expectations.” – Russ Daly, SDSU Extension Veterinarian

11:45 am - Managing Liver Abscesses in the VFD Age
“Learn about management strategies to minimize acidosis and liver abscess issues and comply with the VFD regulations.” – Warren Rusche, SDSU Extension Beef Feedlot Specialist

12:15 pm – Sponsors

12:30 pm – Lunch

1:00 pm – Sponsors

1:30 pm – Marketing Dairy Beef in 2017 “Issues surrounding marketing dairy beef, including market access, price discovery, increased red meat supply, and end product specifications.” – Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities, Inc.

2:15 pm – Financial Management and Working with Lenders “Gain insight into what bankers are looking for as they work with cattle feeders.” – Dave Karnopp, Retail Commercial Lender /Beef Specialist - Farm Credit Services,

3:00 pm – Wrap up closing remarks – Heidi Carroll, SDSU Extension Livestock Stewardship Associate

Adjourn

---
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- **Kim Clark** (program chair): 402-472-6065, kimclark@unl.edu
- **Heidi Carroll** (program vice-chair): 605-688-6623, heidi.carroll@sdstate.edu
- **Tracey Erickson**: 605-882-5140, tracey.erickson@sdstate.edu
- **Maristela Rovai**: 605-688-5488, maristela.rovai@sdstate.edu
- **Jim Saifer**: 320-203-6093, salfe001@umn.edu
- **Betty Berning**: 320-203-6104, bberning@umn.edu
- **Keela Fick**: 507-825-6784, keela.fick@co.pipestone.mn.us
- **JW Schroeder** (professor emeritus): 701-231-7663, jw.schroeder@ndsu.edu
- **Fred Hall**: 712-737-4230, FredHall@iastate.edu
- **Leo Timms**: 515-294-4522, ltimms@iastate.edu
- **Robert Tigner**: 308-345-3390, robert.tigner@unl.edu
- **Amber Boeshans**: 701-328-1495, aboeshans@nd.gov
- **Roger Scheibe**: 605-692-1775, rscheibe@midwestdairy.com
- **Lucas Sjostrom**: 902-691-2154, lucas@MNMilk.org
- **Sue Ann Claudon**: 515-965-4626, sueanncl@iowadairy.org
- **Rod Johnson**: 402-261-5482, rojohnson@nebraskamilk.org

---

For More Information

Please contact an I-29 Moo University member or visit the conference website at:

- [iGrow](http://igrow.org/events/i-29-moo-university-dairy-beef-short-course/)
- [https://www.facebook.com/I29MooUniversity/](https://www.facebook.com/I29MooUniversity/)

---

Dairy Beef Short Course Sponsors

- Zoetis
- Elanco
Vaccination and Implant Protocols for Dairy Beef
Dairy Beef Shortcourse – I-19 Moo University Collaboration
Russ Daly, Extension Veterinarian
Robbi Pritchard, Professor
South Dakota State University

Vaccination Considerations for Dairy Beef

Dairy breed steers are subject to a number of health issues post-weaning, including:

- Pneumonia (Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex)
- Digestive issues (e.g., bloat, acidosis, enterotoxemia, salmonellosis)
- Lameness (infectious as well as injury-based)
- Pinkeye

Of these conditions, the most prevalent and profound is that of Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex (BRDC). The germs that cause respiratory disease in dairy beef calves are no different than those causing problems in beef breeds in the feedlot. The most important of these are:

- Bacteria
  - *Mannheimia hemolytica*
  - *Histophilus somni*
  - *Pasteurella multocida*
  - *Mycoplasma bovis*

- Viruses
  - Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV)
  - Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBRV)

While the germs are similar between dairy and beef breeds regarding pneumonia causes, the risk factors that contribute to clinical illness can be different. In dairy breeds, many of these risk factors are present early in life - in the pre-weaning phase for example. Insufficient ventilation, insufficient bedding, poor colostrum consumption, and group housing are just some of the factors contributing to early respiratory disease in dairy calves. Disease processes, even when sub-clinical (not outwardly noticeable), can set a calf up for problems later on.

Following weaning, common risk factors that contribute to BRDC include transportation, co-mingling, weather events, dust, and ration changes, just to name several. Longer-term stresses associated with these events impair several different aspects of the immune system. This diminished immunity helps bacteria from the upper respiratory tract gain access to the lower lung to cause inflammation and pneumonia. In addition to these environmental events, viral infections with BRSV, IBRV, Bovine Viral Diarrhea virus, and others can also predispose the respiratory tract to pneumonia.

Vaccination against BRDC pathogens is one tool producers can use to help minimize the clinical effects of these germs. Such vaccines in general include:

- Combination viral vaccines against BRSV, IBR, BVDV, and PI-3 (parainfluenza) – available as modified-live or killed versions
- Bacterial vaccines against *Mannheimia hemolytica* and *Pasteurella multocida* – either singly or in combination with each other and/or the viruses
- Bacterial vaccines against *Histophilus somni* and *Mycoplasma bovis*

In addition to BRDC, other health issues for which vaccines are available include Clostridial diseases such as enterotoxemia, pinkeye, and Salmonellosis.

**Vaccination Choice Considerations**

- Viral combination vaccines are the mainstay of bovine respiratory vaccine programs. In most cases, modified-live versions are preferable. Intranasal vaccines show effectiveness in stimulating immunity against these viruses as well.
- Vaccines against *Mannheimia hemolytica* are designed to work against the leukotoxin produced by the bacteria and are generally worth including in a respiratory disease prevention program. Combinations with *Pasteurella multocida* may be beneficial in some cases.
- Vaccines against *Histophilus somni* are generally ineffective against BRDC, but can be useful in preventing other syndromes caused by *H. somni*.
- Vaccines against *Mycoplasma bovis* have not shown consistent usefulness.
- Clostridial vaccines (e.g., 7-way vaccines) are considered effective and are recommended for use. Producers should repeat vaccinations as outlined on the label of the particular product used.
- Vaccines against pinkeye are available, however vaccination “failures” are not uncommon, due to less than adequate cross-protection between strains of pinkeye-causing bacteria.

**Vaccination Timing Considerations**

- Because of the role stress plays in the development of BRDC, producers should anticipate future stressful events on animals and work to ensure that optimal immunity is “on board” prior to those events.
- Movements of animals to different pens/facilities and co-mingling events are of particular importance. Respiratory disease vaccines (MLV viral combinations +/- *Mannheimia* or *Pasteurella*) should be given to animals at least 2 weeks prior to those events.
- Clostridial vaccines should normally be given in conjunction with the respiratory vaccines noted above, although there usually is no need to booster these vaccines more frequently than noted on the label.
- For steers transported long distances, recent research reveals that vaccination upon arrival is not a good idea. Animals that are undergoing stress will not respond well to any vaccine. In these cases, pre-transport vaccination (as noted above) is preferable. If this is not possible, cattle should not be vaccinated any earlier than 10-14 days post-arrival. This improves the odds that cattle have sufficiently recovered from the stress of transportation and acclimation, and will sufficiently respond to the vaccine.

**Growth Implant Considerations for Dairy Beef**

The use of growth implants in growing steers and heifers is one of the most economically advantageous interventions a producer can make with his or her animals. Estrogenic implants increase dry matter intake and improve average daily gain while reducing feed conversion (pounds of feed per pound of
They exhibit these effects by stimulating growth hormone release from the pituitary gland as well as having a direct muscle cell-building effect, among other effects. The androgenic hormone Trenbolone Acetate (TBA) is the other implant ingredient. This hormone stimulates muscle cells to produce protein, while slowing the body’s “burning up” of protein. Researchers noted a synergistic effect when estrogenic compounds were combined with TBA; therefore, many implants currently on the market are combination products.

In addition to the active ingredient, implant products vary according to the carrier substance in which the hormonal product is contained. These carriers influence the duration of effect of the implant. Therefore, implants not only vary according to dosages of the hormone(s) contained, they vary according to how long they release the hormone into the calf’s system.

### Implants Available for Use in Dairy Steers (Examples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Estrogen content (mg/implant)</th>
<th>Progesterone content (mg/implant)</th>
<th>TBA (androgen) content (mg/implant)</th>
<th>Re-implant window (days)</th>
<th>Estimated Duration/payout (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Potency Implants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralgro ®</td>
<td>36 (zeralanol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-60</td>
<td>70-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovex C®</td>
<td>10 (estradiol benzoate [EB])</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component E-C®</td>
<td>10 (EB)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate Potency Implants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revalor G®</td>
<td>8 (estradiol-17β)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-110</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovex S®</td>
<td>20 (EB)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component E-S®</td>
<td>20 (EB)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compudose®</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140-170</td>
<td>170-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encore®</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140-170</td>
<td>300-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovex ONE Grass</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component TE-G®</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate Potency Implants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovex Choice®</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>80-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component TE-IS®</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>80-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Potency Implants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revalor S®</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component TE-S®</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>100-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovex ONE® Feedlot</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate Potency – Delayed Release High Potency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revalor XS®</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>200-240</td>
<td>230-270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Adapted from Holland (2011)
Principles of Growth Implant Use in Growing Cattle

- Implant potency needs to be matched to animal nutrition.
- Too much estrogen causes bullers, dark cutters.
- Start with lower potency implants and build up over time.
- Count back from expected marketing date to determine best timing of implants.
- Don’t reimplant calves that are under significant influence from the previous implant.
- Don’t let implants run out; don’t come up short at the end.

Implanting Mechanics: Reminders

- Keep implant guns in good working order.
- Use sharp, clean needles to implant calves – use sponge soaked with disinfectant in between animals.
- Clean the ear first if visibly soiled with manure or mud.
- Use technique that does not crush implants.
- Place implants in the middle 1/3 of the ear (top-bottom + side to side); implant short ears as if they were still intact.

Caveats and Differences in Using Growth Implants in Dairy Breeds vs. Beef Breeds

- Holsteins are more sensitive to the effects of estrogen compared to beef breeds.
- Early growth of Holsteins is very efficient – implants may not be needed early on. Holsteins not implanted until 450-475 # can do as well or better than those implanted at 350 #.
- First implants should be low-potency (e.g. Ralgro, Synovex C).
- Revalor XS has some unintended consequences in Holsteins, mostly in the form of increased buller problems.
Dairy Steers and Liver Abscesses in the VFD Age

Warren Rusche
SDSU Extension Beef Feedlot Management Associate

Why Worry About Liver Abscesses?

• Reduction in total value
  – $10 - $16 per head export value for livers
  – Severe abscesses associated with 20 pounds less HCW
  – Potential for increased carcass trim loss
• Impact on performance
  – Severe abscesses: 0.22 reduced ADG
• Problems in the processing chain

What Are Liver Abscesses?

• Primary liver abnormality in feedlot cattle
• No clinical signs, only found post-mortem
• Related to acidosis and rumen damage
  – “Acidosis-rumenitis-liver abscess complex”
• Damage to rumen walls allows pathogens to attack liver

How Do Liver Abscesses Occur?

Courtesy Ryan Eichler, Elanco
Liver Abscess Classification

Pathogenic Agents

- *Fusobacterium necrophorum*
  - Primary pathogen
- *Trueperella* (formerly *Arcanobacterium* *pyrogenes*)
  - Secondary
- *Salmonella* recently isolated in liver abscesses in Holsteins

Possible Reasons for Increased Abscesses in Holsteins

- Differences in starch load
  - Fed high-concentrate diets for a longer period of time
  - Greater feed intakes
- Result:
  - Increased amount of starch “load” placed in rumen
  - Increased opportunity for acidosis

Liver Abscess Differences Between Classes of Cattle

- Dramatic increase in liver abscesses in Holsteins
- Increased percentage of A+ liver scores in Holsteins (50 to 60% of total abscesses)

Elanco Liver Check Service - 2015
Amachawadi & Nagaraja, 2016
Holsteins are Just Different

- Increased grooming
  - Possibly increased ingestion of hair
- Increased sorting of their ration
  - Changes in amount and/or characteristics of the roughage they consume
- Wetter pens due to greater water intake and urination
  - More favorable environment for microbes

Eng, 2005

Effect of Forage Level and Length on Percent Liver Abscesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roughage Level</th>
<th>One Inch Screen</th>
<th>Three Inch Screen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8% Roughage</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16% Roughage</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calderon-Cortes and Zinn, 1996

Antibiotic Control

- Six antibiotics approved
  - Bacitracin
  - CTC
  - OTC
  - Neomycin + OTC
  - Virginiamycin
  - Tylosin (Tylan)
    - Most commonly used
- VFD REQUIRED!

Effect of Different Diet and Drug Combinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet and Drug Combinations</th>
<th>DRC/HMC Monensin + tylosin</th>
<th>25% WDGS Drug Free</th>
<th>25% WDGS Monensin</th>
<th>WDGS Monensin + tylosin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADG</td>
<td>3.72&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.87&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.92&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F:G</td>
<td>6.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.98&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.88&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Liver Abscesses</td>
<td>17.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent A+ Liver Scores</td>
<td>4.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meyer et al., 2013
Alternative Control Methods?

- Essential oils
  - Some reduction seen in limited research
  - Not statistically different
  - Less effective than tylosin

Meyer et al., 2009

Vaccination Strategies?

- Fusogard (Elanco) is labelled to prevent liver abscesses
- Limited success
  - Reduced LA when incidence was low (10%)
  - No difference at higher incidence levels

Management Strategies

- Minimize acidosis bouts!
- Risk factors:
  - Mistakes in getting cattle started
  - Not enough effective roughage
  - Feed intake variation
  - Inadequate effective fiber

Minimizing Feed Intake Variation

- Consistent feeding times
- Consistent delivery amounts
- External factors
  - Weather
  - Cattle mobility
Marketing Dairy Beef in 2017
Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities, Inc.

Topic Areas:

1) History of turbulent fed Holstein Market

2) Review of latest industry happenings

3) Market Outlook

Contact Information:
Brad Kooima, President Kooima & Kaemingk Commodities, Inc.
580 3rd Street, NW
Sioux Center, IA 51250
(712)-722-0023
Email: bkkooima@mtcnet.net
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND WORKING WITH LENDERS
Farm Credit Services of America
Dave Karnopp
Retail commercial Lender - Beef

Industries Served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio Concentration</th>
<th>Industry Group Top 10</th>
<th>As of June 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landlords/Inventories</td>
<td>$2,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meat Producers</td>
<td>$2,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cow/Calf</td>
<td>$2,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>$1,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Livestock</td>
<td>$1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>$880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forest Products</td>
<td>$999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$26,189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CattleFax US Average Fed Steer Price Per CWT (past 15 mos.)

- Serve all of Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wyoming, and the eastern portion (41 counties) of Kansas
- More than 57,000 customers
- Own/service nearly $28 billion in loans
- 48 retail offices
- Approximately 1,600 employees
Current Status

- Cattle coming out of feedlots, without use of risk management, last month were making $150/head. Cattle being placed have some moderate profit potential assuming par basis and given lower costing feeder cattle and declining corn prices. 2017 is shaping up to be a profitable year and with back month futures discounted it will encourage feedlots to put cattle ahead.

- Packers continue to enjoy $150+ per head profits – encouraging stronger fed cattle slaughter levels. This should allow the market to smooth out of the larger placed-against supplies this summer. Coincide to be profitable in 2017.

- Feedyards percent fill remain just above 5 year average. On feed inventory is moderately current exemplified by continued lower carcass weights (4-5 lbs on average) as there remains no future market incentive to carry longer on feed.

Production Agriculture – A Competitive Environment

“The function of a competitive market is to drive the economic return to the average producer to breakeven through supply and demand in both input and output markets. In equilibrium, the top end are profitable and growing, the average are hanging in there, and the bottom end are losing money and exiting the industry. Business success and survival depend on continuous improvement at a pace necessary to stay in the front half of the pack.” ---Professor Danny Klinefelter, Texas A & M University

Business Success Factors:

1. Marketing
2. Production
3. Surviving Industry Cycles
4. Capitalizing on Opportunities

Cattle Feeding – Historical View

Fed Cattle Profit/Loss - Simple Avg. Assumes No Risk Management

Achieving Competitive Advantage (and success).

Industry Examples:

- Comparative feed cost – WDDGs & rail freight favored N. Plains vs S. Plains feeders
- Premiums due to genetics, feeding expertise, or source verified & hormone or ABF
- Cattle procurement advantages and/or risk management expertise

Identifying Your Own Strengths & Weaknesses

- Local feed source niche
- Backgrounding expertise and advantages
- Partnering with the right partner.
- Option to adjust scope & scale of operations, or just lay out
Lending Standards
• Financial Measurements – The 5 C’s of Credit
  – Character
  – Capital
  – Capacity
  – Collateral
  – Conditions

Character
• Reputable Feedyard with proven history
• Adequate Financial reporting and record keeping
• Competitive cost of gain
• Demonstrated skills in hedging or contracting livestock and feed
• Herd Health
• Care of Condition of facilities
• Ability to keep the facility adequately used

Capacity
• Does the operation show profitability?
• Are the earnings sustainable?
• Do the actual and projected break evens correlate?
• Does the projected cash flow correlate with balance sheet net worth gains?

Capital
• Working capital is the most critical measurement of capital adequacy because of the need to margin cattle feeding loans with short term assets
• Why is working capital important to me?
  – Allows you to take advantage of future opportunities (i.e. down payments)
  – Helps offset volatility in the market
  – Gives you back up cash to make payments in a stressed year
  – “Staying power to weather the storm”
**Liquidity Standards (Unit)**
- $350 Working Capital Per Head (Feedlot)
- $100 Working Capital Per Cow
- $45 Working Capital Per Hog
- $200 Working Capital Per Acre
- Current Ratio
  - > 2 Desirable
  - 1 – 2 Stable
  - < 1 Risky

**Capital**
- What can I do to improve my working capital and current ratio?
  - **Minimize capital spending** - resist the temptation to make purchases solely to avoid paying taxes. Many businesses go broke attempting to minimize taxes
  - **Minimize interest rate risk** – look for ways to lock in interest rates for a fixed period
  - **Do not prepay intermediate or long-term debt** – carefully consider your future cash needs. If you have your debt locked in at a low rate it may be better to invest your cash in a more liquid source
  - **Manage risk of production loss** – develop a risk management plan that includes life insurance, crop insurance, casualty insurance

**Collateral**
- The adequacy of collateral offered as security.
  - What is the asset value?
  - What is the relationship between the loan and collateral value? Is the asset marketable?
  - What is the quality of the asset?
    - **Real Estate** – 65% Ln/CV
    - **Chattels** – 70% Ln/NRV

**Collateral**
- **Third Party Risk**
  - Any Cattle placed in outside yards should formally notify any potential lenders of the third party as to the ownership of the cattle.
  - Do Due Diligence when prepaying feeds and services of the provider you are working with.
Conditions

• Borrowing base
  – Cattle feeding operations require a high emphasis to be self-liquidating and have adequate margins for adversity

• Annual inspections

• Financial reporting
  – Balance sheets
  – Income statements

Benchmarking

- 15 Customers in Iowa and NE Nebraska
- Range of 5,000 to 20,000 head capacity

Benchmarking

Balance Sheet Comparison
**Risk Management**

- Volatility in markets
  - Cattle Industry has lost $4.5 Billion in last 14 months

**Break Evens**

- What are your break evens?
  - Factors
    - Weather
    - COG
    - Death loss
    - Feed Costs
    - Basis
    - Type of Cattle
    - Level of risk warranted
Risk Management

- Vehicles for risk management
  - Options
    - Puts (A good strategy similar to crop insurance. Keeps floor on losses and leaves the upside open)
    - Fence (Buy puts and sell calls. Creates a window of limited losses and a cap on upside potential in profits.)
  - Hedge positions on the board
    - Flexibility with forward pricing
    - Positive is able to liquidate when you want. Negative is margin calls.
  - Basis Contracts
    - Contract with Packer. This results in no margin calls. Some packers are allowing more flexibility into their contracts. Positive - no margin calls. Negative - packer control/captive supply.

- How does a lender view risk management?
  - Depending on your size and scope, a solid risk management plan will help you stay in the ball game.
    - Capital needs have increased, but we are looking at the same margin. What used to be a $1200 investment is now an $1800 investment and the return is still $50-$100/head.
    - Set goal targets and stick to executing on them when they arrive.
  - Communication, communication, communication
    - Producers and Lenders need to have high communication. Whether the lender needs to cut back credit line or the producers needs more money for margin or cattle procured, the communication needs to be higher. Having a solid plan going forward will allow the Lender to make a quicker decision or extend more credit knowing there is plan in place.

- Marketing plan
  - We are not going to tell you when and how to market your livestock. This is a management decision. If it is a true hedged position we will stand behind the decision and whether the margin storm. Marketing is not our business.

- Collateral Margin
  - Individual pens
    | Price Protected | Equity Head Range |
    |-----------------|-------------------|
    | No              | 25-30% of initial cost (L) |
    | Yes             | 15-20% of initial cost     |

  - Borrowing base advance rate
    | Market Cattle - not protected | 70 to 75% |
    | Market Cattle - Price Protected | 75 to 80% * (L) |

Summary

- Continue to build and establish strong working capital
  - Favorable interest rate environment allows you to rebuild if necessary
  - Term out any capital expenditures that might have been taken out of working capital last 2-3 years

- Margins have tightened.
  - Risk management and execution will be a key to being able to stay in the game.
  - Volatility in markets will continue. How can you mitigate the risk to your operation?
INTEREST RATES

Short Term Rates
- Federal Reserve controlled
- First rise in interest rates in 9 years
- Anticipate modest increases in 2017-18
- Fed action will impact variable rate debt
- Primarily – Operating Line of Credit

Fed Funds – Short Term Rates

Long Term Rates
- Free market controlled – trade daily just like the corn market
- Global flight to quality remains the primary driver of long term interest rates
- 10-Year US Treasuries:
  - 7-25-12: 1.43% (The bottom)
  - 1-2-13: 1.86%
  - 1-2-14: 3.00%
  - 1-2-15: 2.12%
  - 1-4-16: 2.27%
  - 8-1-16: 1.51%
Interest Rates

- Keep perspective in the current environment — interest rates remain a positive for agriculture
- Short-term rates are going from historic lows to very low
- Current interest rate environment still provides excellent opportunities for interest rate risk management

The Ultimate Question

- How do you position your operation/business to withstand the challenges facing us today, yet take advantage of the opportunities that exist long term?
Key to Managing Cycles

• Balance dealing with the current situation – with thinking, planning and deploying resources today for tomorrow’s reality and opportunities

Benchmark Farms

• Most comprehensive agricultural real estate data base in the state of Iowa
• Nearly 70 full-time appraisal specialists
• Typically analyze 3,000 + transactions per year
• 64 Benchmark Farms
• Values Updated Semi-Annually (January 1st and July 1st)

Benchmark Trends
(based on 7/1/2016 Values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>5 Year</th>
<th>10 Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (21)</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>-5.6%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>139.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska (18)</td>
<td>-4.5%</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>212.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota (23)</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>208.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming (2)</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Land Value Summary**

- From the peak to today: Q2, 2013 – Q2, 2016
- Avg. Iowa Cropland: -20.1%
- 4 BM Farms in NW Iowa: -18%

**Final Land Value Perspective**

- Land values have declined modestly in 2015/16
- Positive land value support factors:
  - Lower supply of land for sale, low interest rates, excellent yields
- We expect land values to continue to react to lower grain production margins in 2016/17
- We expect the correlation disconnect between land values and grain production margins to narrow

**Ag’s Balance Sheet**

U.S. Farm Sector Balance Sheet (Billion Real 2009 $)
and Debt-to-Asset Ratio
## Compared to the ‘80’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1980s</th>
<th>TODAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 1979, 70% of the farm debt was held by approximately 30% of the farming operations. This 30% of the farming operations produced approximately 42% of the net farm income.*</td>
<td>In 2012, 68% of the farm debt was held by approximately 4% of the farming operations. This 4% of the farming operations produced approximately 48% of net farm income.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 1979, the percentage of farming operations with no debt was approximately 32%*</td>
<td>In 2012, the percentage of farming operations with no debt was approximately 75%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historically high interest rates. Prime rate in 1981 was 21%, and Fed Funds rate in 1981 was as high as 19%.</td>
<td>Historically low interest rates. Prime rate is 3.25%, and Fed Funds rate is 0.25%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most loans had variable interest rates.</td>
<td>More use of fixed interest rates, with many borrowers having already locked in record-low rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 1983, total farm interest expense was approximately 150% of net farm income*</td>
<td>In 2012, total farm interest expense was approximately 14% of net farm income*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Livestock Industry Outlook

### World Meat & Poultry Consumption

*Source: UN/FAO, Agriculture Outlook to 2023*

- **World Population Growth**

![Bar graph showing world population growth from 1750 to 2050](image)

- **United Nations Projections**

  - Global food production will need to increase 40% by 2030 and 70% by 2050; beef and dairy production will need to DOUBLE by 2050
2017 – Closing Comments

• We remain bullish on the future of agriculture
• We will be growing food, fuel, fiber in the Midwest for the next 100 years
• Ultimately, grain production agriculture will adjust to the reality of our revenue stream

QUESTIONS?